### Timothy Kudzanayi Kuhamba

Thanx Marisa<sup>a\*</sup>, Munyaradzi Munochiveyi<sup>a\*</sup>, Wadzanai Julius Zondai<sup>a\*</sup>, Ramson Munyaradzi Nyamukondiwa<sup>a</sup>, Isheanesu Newengo<sup>b</sup>

### Case Study Zimbabwe

A DEEP LEARNING BASED APPROACH FOR FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE DETECTION



# Foot and Mouth Disease

Infectious livestock disease caused by the Foot and Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV)

Affects cloven-hoofed domestic animals and around 70 wild creature species

African Buffalo, including cows, pigs, and little ruminants

### Foot and Mouth Outbreaks in Zimbabwe from 1931 to 2016



(Guerrini *et al.,* 2019)

### Foot and Mouth Serotypes

• 7 distinct serotypes (O, A, C, Asia 1, SAT 1, 2, & 3) and there are some subtypes in each serotype.

- **Serotypes O** Oise France
- **Serotypes** A Allemagne in Germany.

• **Serotypes** SAT Southern African Territory



## Foot and Mouth



- The incubation period of FMD is between 2-12 days
- Animals can experience high fever with temperatures 104 -106 °F.
- Animals also develop blisters in the mouth (tongue, gum, lips ) which later rupture and leave ulcers.
- Blisters also develop on the teats and feat of animals (Aftosa, 2015).
- However, confirmation of diagnosis can only be done after laboratory tests.

## FMD Control Measures

- Early detection and reporting of the FMD to limit the spread of the disease
- II. Quarantining of the infected animals at the premised where it was detected
- III. Containing the spread of the disease by restricting the movement of the animals from the premises.
- IV. Vaccination of cattle to eradicate the disease
- V. Continuous surveillance in the FMD prone diseases

### Foot and Mouth Symptoms -Cattle



Drooling



### Foot and Mouth Symptoms -Cattle





### **Gum lesion**

**Teat lesion** 

## Deep learning



Illustration of a typical convolutional neural network architecture setup (Nguyen et al, 2017).

## Deep learning

- Deep learning is a specific subfield of machine learning:
- a new take on learning representations from data that puts an emphasis on learning successive layers of increasingly meaningful representations.
- Deep means stands for this idea of successive layers of representations.
- How many layers contribute to a model of the data is called the depth of the model

### Problem Statement

There is a shortage of veterinary specialists across the country due to brain drain which leaves farmers cattle vulnerable, timeous advice for the detection of FMD as the diseases leads to loss of production of livestock meats and also milk to farmers and also a major impediment as countries with foot and mouth faces trade restrictions moreover the disease is difficult and costly to control and eradicate.

# Aim

• To investigate how deep learning architectures can be used to detect foot and mouth

## Objectives

- i. Detection of foot and mouth disease using deep learning architectures;
- ii. Assessment of deep learning architecture model performance for the detection of foot and mouth disease;
- iii. Recommendation of a system for capturing images used in detection of foot and mouth disease.

## Related work





Digital and infrared images of cattle without (A) or with (B) fever and note that the lower temperatures (blue-green) in the animal without fever or viremia versus the higher temperatures (orange-red) in the viremic and feverish animal.

### Material and Methods



### Acquisition of Healthy cattle images



Images taken whilst cattle were grazing

Process for taking images for tongue, gum and teats

## Acquisition of Healthy cattle images



Cattle held in a cattle crush

Washing cattle feet

Process for taking images of the feet

## Data Préparation



**Training Directory** 



### Preprocessing -Selection of area of interest



Region of Interest(ROI)

Original Image

### Image Augmentation



## Foot and Mouth Detection



Softmax classifier outputs the probability of an image belonging to a certain type of class e.g. foot and mouth disease or healthy class.

## Procedure for detecting



### Risk Management

Risk	Counter Measure
Failure to get images from the Veterinary	Request from International Organisations dealing with Foot and Mouth (EuFMD,
department	Pirbright Institute)
	Download from the Internet
Few images	Data Augmentation
	Image Pre-processing of healthy cattle and pre-process them introducing diseases
	Transfer learning
Cattle feet in a muddy farm	Isolate the cattle and wash their feet before taking pictures
Large training time required for CPU	Use Google Colab Graphical Processing Unit (GPU)
Google Colab resources are not guaranteed	Use the high-performance computer at the University of Zimbabwe

### Results

Model	Layers	Params	Training	Validation	Training	Test	Test loss
			Accuracy%	Accuracy%	loss	Accuracy	
Inception V3	48	41.2M	0.9950	0.9525	0.0208	98.44	6.91
VGGnet	16	119.6M	0.8995	0.9300	0.314	79.69	50.11
Resnet	50	23.6M	0.9950	0.9850	0.0163	95.31	8.43
Resnet	152	58.5M	0.9825	0.9575	0.0367	100	8.70
Densenet 201	121	7.1M	0.9900	0.9900	0.0152	96.87	7.05

### M Million

### Densenet 201



## **Evaluation Metrics**

• Accuracy = 
$$\frac{TP+TN}{TP+FN+FP+TN}$$
  
• Precision =  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

• 
$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Where;

• TP, FP, and FN represent the true positives, false positives and false negatives.

## Confusion Matrix

- **True Positive TP**: cases when classifier predicted **TRUE** (they have the disease-Foot and Mouth) and the correct class was **TRUE** (cattle has the disease- Foot and Mouth)
- **True Negative TN**: cases when the model predicted **FALSE** (no disease-Healthy) and the correct class was **FALSE** (cattle do not have the disease-Foot and Mouth)
- False Positive FP: (Type I error): classifier predicted TRUE but correct class was FALSE (cattle did not have the disease)
- False Negatives FN: (Type II error): classifier predicted FALSE (cattle do not have the disease-Foot and Mouth) but they do have the disease

## **Confusion Matrix**

	(Predicted)	(Predicted)
(Actual)	True	False
	Positive	Positive
	(TP)	(FP)
Actual)	False	True
	Negative	Negative
	(FN)	(TN)



#### Densenet 201 Confusion matrix

### Comparison of the Predictions



## **Densenet Confusion Matrix**



Densenet 201 Confusion matrix

#### Densenet 201 Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Foot_and_Mouth	0.98	1.00	0.99	60
Healthy	1.00	0.99	1.00	120
accuracy			0.99	180
macro avg	0.99	1.00	0.99	180
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	180

### **Densenet Multiclassification**

Densenet 201 Multiclassification Confusion matrix



Densenet 201 Multiclass Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Drooling	0.02	0.40	0.03	5
feet lession	0.00	0.00	0.00	11
gum_lession	0.00	0.00	0.00	26
teat_lession	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
tongue_lession	0.00	0.00	0.00	12
Healthy	0.00	0.00	0.00	120
accuracy			0.01	174
macro avg	0.00	0.07	0.01	174
weighted avg	0.00	0.01	0.00	174
-				

## Comparison of the evaluation metrics

- Sensitivity is the probability that the screening test is positive given that cattle have foot and mouth disease
- **Specificity** is the probability that the screening test is negative given that cattle do not have the foot and mouth disease

• Sensitivity = true positive rate: 
$$TPR = \frac{positive \ correctly \ classified}{total \ positives} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

• Specificity = truenegativerate:  $FNR = \frac{negative \ correctly \ classified}{total \ negatives} = \frac{TN}{FP+TN}$ 

## Specificity and Sensitivity

### 100%

0%

**Specificity** :All the health cattle labelled as healthy **Sensitivity** :All Foot and Mouth diseased(unhealthy) cattle labelled as unhealthy

**Specificity** :All the health cattle labelled as diseased with Foot and Mouth (unhealthy ) **Sensitivity** :All Foot and Mouth diseased(unhealthy) cattle labelled as healthy

33

## **Evaluating Performances**

### **Evaluating Performance measures**



■ Accuracy ■ Precision ■ Recall ■ F1 Score ■ Sensitivity ■ Specificity

### Predictions on test images

Coloured image



200 0 50 100 150 200 Predicted: 0.9999825 Healthy

### Greyscale image



Predicted: 0.9324457 Foot and Mouth



### Soft focus



Predicted: 0.5355568 Foot and Mouth



Predicted: 0.99993634 Healthy

### Prediction of gum lesion and non-gum lesion images

### Coloured image



### Greyscale image



### Soft focus



Predicted: 0.99898535 Healthy

50

100

150

200

## Prediction of drooling and non-drooling image

### Coloured image



### Greyscale image



Predicted: 0.8020658 Foot and Mouth

### Soft focus



## Prediction of teat lesion and non-lesion

### Coloured image



### Greyscale image



### Soft focus



### Prediction of Tongue lesion and non-tongue lesion

Coloured image



### Greyscale image



### Soft focus



### Batch testing

True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



### True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and \_Mouth Pred: Foot\_and \_Mouth



True: Foot\_and\_Mouth Pred: Healthy



## Conclusion

- This study set out to evaluate how effective is the detection of FMD using different deep learning architectures
- Findings of this study' show that FMD can be detected using deep learning however larger datasets of both FMD and healthy images are required to improve the performance evaluation metrics and also the identification of the disease
- Thus veterinary departments and international organisations across the World must be encouraged to take images and archive of cattle diseased with FMD.
- The main contribution of this study is that it has set the groundwork for the development of a mobile application (app) that will be used for the detection of FMD.

## Acknowledgements

- I give my gratitude to all the authors who were involved in the contribution of the articles and journals that contain information related to my project.
- I also thank my supervisor, Dr. Marisa, for helping me through to complete my project. I would also like to thank Dr M Munochiveyi for his important comments and review of this study.
- I would also like to extend my gratitude to the European Union Foot and Mouth division and Pirbright Institute for providing the foot and mouth diseased images.
- The staff of the University of Zimbabwe farm in Mazowe played a major role in the acquisition of healthy images as they helped in the preparation of cattle for images to be taken.

## VGG-16 Accuracy and loss performance



## Inception v3



## ResNet 152v2



### ResNet 50



Resnet50 Training and Validation loss Performance

10

12

### InceptionResnetv2



### References

- Alsaaod, M. and Büscher, W. (2012) 'Detection of hoof lesions using digital infrared thermography in dairy cows', Journal of Dairy Science. Elsevier, 95(2), pp. 735–742. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4762.
- Amara, J., Bouaziz, B. and Algergawy, A. (2017) 'A Deep Learning-based Approach for Banana Leaf Diseases Classification', pp. 79–88.
- Babb, B. A. and Emery, R. E. (2018) 'April 2018', Family Court Review, 56(2), pp. 205–206. doi: 10.1111/fcre.12333.
- Chollet, F. (2018) Deep Learning with Python.
- Codella, N. C. F. et al. (2017) 'Deep learning ensembles for melanoma recognition in dermoscopy images', 61(4), pp. 1–15.
- Esteva, A. et al. (2017) 'with deep neural networks', Nature Publishing Group. Nature Publishing Group. doi: 10.1038/nature21056.
- Ferentinos, K. P. (2018) 'Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. Elsevier, 145(September 2017), pp. 311–318. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2018.01.009.
- Gao, M., Xu, Z. and Mollura, D. J. (2017) 'Interstitial Lung Diseases via Deep Convolutional Neural Networks : Segmentation Label Propagation , Unordered Pooling and Cross-Dataset Learning', pp. 97–111. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-42999-1.
- Gloster, J. et al. (2011) 'Normal variation in thermal radiated temperature in cattle : implications for foot-and-mouth disease detection', (July 2010).
- Guerrini, L. et al. (2019) 'Spatial and seasonal patterns of FMD primary outbreaks in cattle in Zimbabwe between 1931 and 2016', Veterinary Research. BioMed Central, pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13567-019-0690-7.
- Gutstein, S. M. (2010). Transfer Learning Techniques for Deep Neural Nets.
- Huang, G. et al. (2017) 'Densely connected convolutional networks', Proceedings 30th IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, 2017-Janua, pp. 2261–2269. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2017.243.
- Infection, P. (2018) 'crossm Contact Challenge of Cattle with Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus Validates the Role of the Nasopharyngeal Epithelium as the Site of Primary and Persistent Infection', pp. 1–18.
- Jamal, S. M. and Belsham, G. J. (2013) 'Foot-and-mouth disease : past , present and future', pp. 1–14.

## References

- Kermany, D. S. et al. (2018) 'Identifying Medical Diagnoses and Treatable Diseases by Image-Based Deep Learning Resource Identifying Medical Diagnoses and Treatable Diseases by Image-Based Deep Learning', Cell. Elsevier Inc., 172(5), pp. 1122-1131.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.010.
- Knight-Jones, T. J. D., McLaws, M. and Rushton, J. (2017) 'Foot-and-Mouth Disease Impact on Smallholders What Do We Know, What Don't We Know and How Can We Find Out More?', Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 64(4), pp. 1079–1094. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12507.
- Lundervold, A. S. and Lundervold, A. (2019) 'An overview of deep learning in medical imaging focusing on MRI', Zeitschrift fur Medizinische Physik. Elsevier B.V., 29(2), pp. 102–127. doi: 10.1016/j.zemedi.2018.11.002.
- Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P. and Salathé, M. (2016) 'Using Deep Learning for Image-Based Plant Disease Detection', 7(September), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01419.
- Rainwater-Lovett, K. et al. (2009) 'Detection of foot-and-mouth disease virus infected cattle using infrared thermography', Veterinary Journal. Elsevier Ltd, 180(3), pp. 317–324. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2008.01.003.
- Ramcharan, A. et al. (2017) 'Deep Learning for Image-Based Cassava Disease Detection', 8(October), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01852.
- Schaefer, A. L. et al. (2004) 'Early detection and prediction of infection using infrared thermography', Canadian Journal of Animal Science, 84(1), pp. 73–80. doi: 10.4141/A02-104.
- Smith, M. J. (2019) 'Getting value from artificial intelligence in agriculture', Animal Production Science, 60(1), pp. 46–54. doi: 10.1071/AN18522.
- Su, H. et al. (no date) 'Robust Cell Detection and Segmentation in Histopathological Images Using Sparse Reconstruction and Stacked Denoising Autoencoders', pp. 257–278. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-42999-1.
- Sung, J. (2018) 'The Fourth Industrial Revolution and Precision Agriculture', Automation in Agriculture Securing Food Supplies for Future Generations, pp. 3–16. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.71582.
- Tm, P. et al. (2018) 'Tomato Leaf Disease Detection using Convolutional Neural Networks', pp. 2–4.
- Wallelign, S. (2017) 'Soybean Plant Disease Identification Using Convolutional Neural Network', pp. 146–151.
- Xu, Y. et al. (2019) 'Deep learning predicts lung cancer treatment response from serial medical imaging', Clinical Cancer Research, 25(11), pp. 3266–3275. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-2495.